UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,768	11/09/2006	James Walter Lucas	A-10044	6930
Martin P Hoffm	7590 12/17/200 nan	8	EXAM	IINER
Hoffman Wasson & Gitler 2461 South Clark Street			NGUYEN, CHI Q	
Suite 522	rk Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA	22202		3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/577,768	LUCAS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHI Q. NGUYEN	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE ate, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matter	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examing 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examing 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apliority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to applicant's patent application 10/9/2006.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/17/2006 is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-8 and 10-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claimed preambles for claims 2-8 and 10-16 should read —The panel edge joint—.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-8 are objected to because: in claim 1, lines 1-2 a citation "a first and second panel" should read --a first and second panels--. Correction is required. Claims 2-8 depending upon the objected claim 1 are also objected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 6 and 14, the phrase "may be" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the outer contour" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 8 depending upon the rejected claim 7 is also rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 9-14 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 4,034,532 to Reinwall, Jr.

Claims 1, 9, 17 and 18:

Reinwall, Jr. discloses in Fig. 8, a panel edge joint formed on opposing edges of a first 50a and second 52a panels for use in refrigeration units said panel edge joint comprising a male part 51a extending along at least one edge of the first panel 50a and a corresponding female part 53a extending along at least one edge of a second panel 52a wherein the male part comprises a deformable sleeve 14a forming an outer covering of said male part 51a whereby engagement of said male part with said female part forms a seal between the first panel and said second panel.

Claims 2, 10:

Wherein the first and second panels are a planar rectangular shape having two pairs of opposing edges and two opposed faces wherein the faces are formed from sheet metal (col. 4, line 26), said faces sandwich an insulating material 54a.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,768 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 3, 11:

Wherein the female part 53a of the panel edge joint is formed as a fold extending along at least one edge of the sheet metal forming a cavity to receive the male part (Fig. 8).

Claims 4, 12:

Wherein the male part 51a of the panel edge joint is formed as a fold extending along at least one edge of the sheet metal (Fig. 8).

Claims 5, 13:

Wherein the deformable sleeve 14a is integrally formed at 24a with the male part 51a (Fig. 8).

Claims 6, 14:

Wherein the deformable sleeve 14a may be fitted over the outer contour of the male part 51a and within the inner contour of the female part 53a to provide a seal therebetween.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-8 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 4,034,532 to Reinwall, Jr. in view of US Pat. No. 4,184,301 to Anderson et al.

Claims 7-8 and 15-16:

Reinwall Jr. discloses the claimed invention as stated but does not disclose expressly wherein the deformable sleeve includes at least one bead lying adjacent to the outer contour of the male part to prevent the flow of fluid therethrough. Anderson et al. disclose in Figs. 4 and 6 a joint between two panels with a sleeve 26 or 36 in between two male and female extending parts, respectively; at least one bead 17 and 47 (Fig. 4) lying adjacent to the outer contour of the male part 16. In view of Anderson et al. it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Reinwall Jr. at least on bead in order to seal a gap for preventing air leak.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached at (571) 272-6777.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/577,768 Page 6

Art Unit: 3635

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

/C. Q. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3635

/Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635